



23 March 2022

ASX:BCA

Further shallow manganese drill results from Flanagan Bore

HIGHLIGHTS

- Assay results from the December 2021 reverse circulation (RC) drill program have been received for the FB5 and FB1 anomalies, Flanagan Bore
- Drilling at FB1 encountered significant shallow high grade manganese intervals including:
 - o 15m @ 11.1% Mn from 15m (FBRC138)
 - 11m @ 10.2% Mn from surface (FBRC142)
 - **30m @ 9.4% Mn from surface (FBRC143)**
 - o 12m @ 12.3% Mn from surface (FBRC156)
 - o 21m @ 11.4% Mn from surface (FBRC159)
- At FB1, the manganese enriched shale mineralisation extends over 1500m, along an interpreted fold structure
- A single drill line completed at FB5 encountered shallow zones of manganese enriched shale including:
 - o 22m @ 9.4% Mn from surface until EOH (FBRC130)
 - o 21m @ 8.8% Mn from surface until EOH (FBRC131)
 - o 22m @ 9.3% Mn Fe from surface until EOH (FBRC132)
- Significantly, the drilling completed at FB5 confirms the manganese enriched shales are likely to extend along strike for several kilometres to the southwest of the recent FB3 manganese discovery and shows large tonnage potential
- Mineral Resource estimates are progressing well, with a maiden estimate for the FB3 discovery and an expanded estimate for LR1 on track for delivery in early April 2022
- Metallurgical test work continues with the preparation of drill core composites for ore sorting trials using industry specialists, ALS Metallurgy and Steinert Global, with completion expected in May 2022



Black Canyon's Executive Director, Brendan Cummins, said "The drill results from these regional drill targets outside of the growing LR1 and emerging FB3 deposits provide further upside to the Flanagan Bore Project.

"The Company's first drilling program has been very successful in discovering more manganese with the potential to deliver additional mineral resources at Flanagan Bore. We believe this is just the beginning, with several kilometres of mapped but untested manganese enriched shale providing targets for future exploration drill programs."

Australian manganese explorer, Black Canyon Limited (the **Company**) (ASX:BCA), is pleased to announce that all assay results have now been received from the RC drill program completed in December 2021. The widespread manganese mineralisation encountered at FB1 and FB5, with several high-grade intersections reported from surface, further reinforce the prospectivity of the manganese enriched shales at Flanagan Bore. These latest drill results confirm the large manganese endowment of the Project with updated Mineral Resource estimates well advanced at LR1 and the recently discovered FB3 deposit.

Located 120km north-east of Newman, Black Canyon's Flanagan Bore Project contains both tenements owned 100% by the Company as well as tenements that are part of the Company's Carawine Project, which are subject to a farm-in and joint venture agreement (the Agreement) with Carawine Resources Ltd (ASX:CWX) whereby Black Canyon can earn up to a 75% interest in the Carawine JV Project tenements.

Flanagan Bore Drill Program Summary

A total of 168 RC drill holes were completed for 5,569m and 13 PQ diamond drill core holes were completed for 477m during December 2021. The Company has now received and compiled all of the assay results from the drill program. A summary of the significant results is provided below and collar details for the drill program completed at FB1 and FB5 are presented in Appendix 1.

FB1 Prospect

A total of 35 holes for 1016m was drilled into the FB1 target following a folded sequence of manganese enriched shale. Wide spaced historic drilling (ASX announcement June 10, 2021) was followed up with 400m spaced drill lines and 100m drillhole centres designed to provide broader coverage to help understand mineralisation and continuity.

A number of significant drill results were received from the drill program, which are presented in Figure 1 and listed below:

- o 22m @ 9.4% Mn & 8.8% Fe from surface until EOH (FBRC130)
- o 22m @ 9.3% Mn & 9.4% Fe from surface until EOH (FBR131)
- o 15m @ 11.1% Mn & 10.8% Fe from 15m (FBR138)
- o 30m @ 9.4% Mn & 7.6% Fe from surface (FBRC143)
- o 12m @ 12.3% Mn & 9.6% Fe from surface (FBRC156)
- o 21m @ 11.4% Mn & 9.3% Fe from surface (FBR159)

FB5 Prospect

A total of 6 holes for 135m were drilled into the FB5 target along a single drill line traverse with holes 100m apart. The drill holes confirmed the northwest dipping manganese enriched shale with a cross strike width of at least 400m intersected. The drilling completed at FB5 has only tested a small section of the 5km long zone of mapped manganese mineralisation that extends to the southwest towards the FB6 prospect. On this basis the target area has high potential to form a substantial zone of manganese enrichment. The significant results are presented in Figure 2.





Figure 1. FB1 prospect drill plan showing the latest significant assay results and mapped Mn enriched shale units (BCA earning to 75%)



Figure 2. FB5 prospect drill plan showing the latest significant assay results and mapped Mn enriched shale units (BCA earning to 75%)



FB3/FB4 Prospects

As previously announced (ASX announcement March 2, 2022), a total of 82 holes for 2,148m were drilled into the FB3 and adjacent FB4 prospects.

The RC drilling program encountered widespread manganese mineralisation across both the FB3 and FB4 prospects covering an area 1000m x 1000m, using a broad 200m x 100m grid drill pattern.

There had been no previous drilling at FB3/FB4 and the assays results confirmed the discovery of thick manganese enriched shales at FB3/FB4. Several holes ended in mineralisation and remain open at depth. Future RC drill programs will be drilled deeper to determine the full extents of the manganese enriched shales down hole and down plunge.

Structurally, the mineralisation intersected within the FB3 prospect is defined within an open southwest plunging synclinal fold. The northern limb appears to be dipping slightly steeper than the southern limb, which is close to flat. Higher manganese grade zones are coincident with an increase in topography across the fold nose and northern limb.

Significant results for the FB3/FB4 prospects are shown in plan and cross-section in Figures 3 & 4 respectively and are listed below:

- o 39m @ 13.5% Mn & 14.2% Fe from surface,
 - including 15m @ 15.8% Mn and 13.1% Fe from surface (FBRC060)
- 40m @ 11.9% Mn & 12.9% Fe from surface,
 - including 13m @ 14.1% Mn and 12.7% Fe from surface (RBRC061)
- o 29m @ 14.5% Mn & 12.2% Fe from surface,
 - including 10m @ 19.2% Mn and 12.4% Fe from surface (FBRC066)
- o 34m @ 13.7% Mn & 12.2% Fe from surface until EOH,
 - including 8m @ 17.5% Mn and 13.7% Fe from surface (FBRC068)
- o 36m @ 11.6% Mn & 10.8% Fe from surface,
 - including 14m @ 13.5% Mn and 11.4 % Fe from 9m (FBRC075)





Figure 3. FB3 deposit drill plan showing the latest significant assay results, cross section line (Figure 4) and outline of the Mn enriched shale footprint (BCA earning to 75%)



Figure 4. FB3 cross-section looking to the northeast (vertical exaggeration = 2:1)



LR1 Mineral Resource Extension Assay Results

A 900m x 200m zone was historically drill tested in 2013 and an Inferred Mineral Resource estimated by Black Canyon, comprising 15Mt @ 11.3% Mn¹.

As previously announced (ASX announcements dated 8 and 21 February 2022), the assays received from the RC drill program at LR1 confirmed extensions to the mineralisation to the southwest with 400m to 600m additional cross-strike width now defined.

The mineralisation footprint is 1,100m long and up to 800m wide based on the results received from the RC drilling program. The mineralisation is open to the west but appears to be offset to the NE, which will require additional drilling to confirm. Infill drilling is planned and will be undertaken during the 2022 field season.

Significant results from LR1 are listed below and cross-section provided in Figure 5:

- o 40m @13.4% Mn & 8.7% Fe from surface,
 - Incl. 11m @ 20.4% Mn & 8.6% Fe from 10m (FBRC018)
- 47m @10.6% Mn & 8.5% Fe from 10m,
 - Incl. 10m @ 14.5% Mn & 10.8% Fe from 13m (FBRC001)
- o 35m @ 11.7% Mn & 9.4% Fe from surface,
 - Incl. 15m @ 14.7% Mn & 9.7% Fe from 10m (FBRC35)
- 40m @ 11.0% Mn & 8.2% Fe from surface until EOH,
 - Incl. 10m @ 17.4% Mn & 9.6% Fe from 15m (FBRC44)
- o 23m @ 11.7% Mn & 8.9% Fe from surface,
 - Incl. 4m @ 14.6% Mn and 7.6% Fe from surface (FBRC22)



Figure 5. LR1 deposit cross section 273,750mE showing significant intersections (looking east)

¹ ASX:BCA Announcement 5 October 2021 - Maiden Manganese Resource for LR1 Prospect at Flanagan Bore





Figure 6. Flanagan Bore Project with the LR1 MRE outline, prospective manganese targets, FB3 Manganese Discovery and recent drill results (Black Canyon earning to 75%)



This announcement has been approved by the Board of Black Canyon Limited.

For further details:

Brendan Cummins Executive Director Telephone: +61 8 9426 0666 Email: brendan.cummins@blackcanyon.com.au

About Black Canyon

Black Canyon has entered into a farm-in and joint venture with ASX listed Carawine Resources Limited (ASX:CWX) to acquire a majority interest in the Carawine Project in Western Australia. The Carawine Project covers approximately 800km² of tenure located south of the operating Woodie-Woodie manganese mine, providing a large footprint in a proven and producing manganese belt. Black Canyon has also applied for and acquired other exploration licenses adjacent to the Carawine Project that would increase the total land holdings to over 2500km² on grant. In addition to manganese, the Carawine Project also hosts multiple copper occurrences including the Western Star prospect which comprises a large zone of surface copper enrichment.

Manganese and copper continue to have attractive fundamentals with growing utilisation in the battery mineral sector and challenging supply conditions.

Compliance Statements

Reporting of Exploration Results and Previously Reported Information

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on, and fairly represents, information and supporting documentation reviewed by Mr Brendan Cummins, Executive Director of Black Canyon Limited. Mr Cummins is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists and he has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposits under consideration and to the activity which has been undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves". Mr Cummins consents to the inclusion in this release of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which they appear. Mr Cummins is a shareholder of Black Canyon Limited.

For further information, please refer to ASX announcements dated 17 May 2021, 10 June 2021, 7 July 2021, 5 October 2021, 4 January 2022, 8 February 2022, 21 February 2022 and 2 March 2022, which are available from the ASX Announcement web page on the Company's website. The Company confirms that there is no new information or data that materially affects the information presented in the JORC Table 1 appendices that relate to Exploration Results and Mineral Resources in the original market announcements.

Telephone: +61 8 6374 2907 Email: <u>andrew@whitenoisecomms.com</u> th ASX

For media and broker enquiries:

White Noise Communications

Andrew Rowell





Appendix 1. Flanagan Bore drill collar information and assay results from FB3/FB4

hheim		-ianagai	n Bore	ariii	COIld		IUIIIa		anu	assay	result	.5 11 01	n FB3/FB4
Hole id	Prospect	East (GDA94)	North (GDA94)	Rİ	EOH (m)	Dip	Azimuth	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Mn (%)	Fe (%)	Results
FBRC128	FB5	278119	7456092	518	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC129	FB5	278036	7456149	518	22	-90	360	1	12	11	8.8	8.6	11m @ 8.8% Mn & 8.6% Fe from 1m
FBRC130	FB5	277955	7456195	518	22	-90	360	0	22	22	9.4	8.8	22m @ 9.4% Mn & 8.8% Fe from surface until EOH
FBRC131	FB5	277862	7456251	516	22	-90	360	1	22	21	8.8	9.5	21m @ 8.8% Mn & 9.5% Fe from surface until EOH
FBRC132	FB5	277771	7456303	516	22	-90	360	0	22	22	9.3	9.4	22m @ 9.3% Mn & 9.4% Fe from surface until EOH
FBRC133	FB5	277685	7456354	516	22	-90	360	8	22	14	8.9	9.2	14m @ 8.9% Mn & 9.2% Fe from 8m until EOH
FBRC134	FB1	277031	7461163	514	34	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC135	FB1	276960	7461246	514	34	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC136	FB1	276910	7461301	514	22	-90	360	0	2	2	12.1	10.9	2m @ 12.1% Mn & 10.9% Fe from surface
FBRC137	FB1	276853	7461385	512	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC138	FB1	276523	7461772	516	40	-90	360	15	30	15	11.1	10.8	15m @ 11.1% Mn & 10.8% Fe from 15m
FBRC139	FB1	276853	7461540	510	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC140	FB1	276785	7461609	510	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC141	FB1	276730	7461683	512	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC142	FB1	276673	7461759	516	22	-90	360	0	11	11	10.2	8.1	11m @ 10.2% Mn & 8.1% Fe from surface
FBRC143	FB1	276925	7461765	514	40	-90	360	0	30	30	9.4	7.6	30m @ 9.4% Mn & 7.6% Fe from surface
FBRC144	FB1	277172	7461764	512	22	-90	360	0	19	19	9.8	7.7	19m @ 9.8% Mn & 7.7% Fe from surface
FBRC145	FB1	277110	7461857	514	40	-90	360	0	37	37	8.3	7.3	37m @ 8.3% Mn & 7.3% Fe from surface
FBRC146	FB1	277039	7461950	516	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC147	FB1	276979	7462012	518	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC148	FB1	277479	7462046	516	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC149	FB1	277415	7462114	516	40	-90	360	0	34	34	8.9	7.9	34m @ 8.9% Mn & 7.9% Fe from surface
FBRC150	FB1	277348	7462192	518	34	-90	360	20	34	14	9.7	7.3	14m @ 9.7% Mn & 7.3% Fe from 20m until EOH
FBRC151	FB1	277284	7462261	522	40	-90	360	32	40	8	10.1	9.2	8m @ 10.1% Mn & 9.2% Fe from 32m until EOH
FBRC152	FB1	277294	7462331	520	34	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC153	FB1	277287	7462396	522	34	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC154	FB1	277189	7462526	518	40	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC155	FB1	277194	7462627	516	16	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC156	FB1	277193	7462453	520	40	-90	360	0	17	17	12.3	9.6	12m @ 12.3% Mn & 9.6% Fe from surface
FBRC157	FB1	276892	7462348	522	28	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC158	FB1	276896	7462451	520	28	-90	360	0	2	2	15.2	7.4	2m @ 15.2% Mn & 7.4% Fe from surface
FBRC159	FB1	276900	7462522	518	34	-90	360	0	21	21	11.4	9.3	21m @ 11.4% Mn & 9.3% Fe from surface
FBRC160	FB1	276901	7462627	518	34	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC161	FB1	276853	7462780	516	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC162	FB1	276778	7462704	518	28	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC163	FB1	276716	7462637	522	28	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC164	FB1	276646	7462551	520	28	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC165	FB1	276369	7462828	518	28	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC166	FB1	276425	7462899	518	22	-90	360			0			NSR
EPDC1C7	FB1	276486	7462969	518	22	-90	360			0			NSR
FBRC167													

NSR – refers to No Significant Result



Appendix 2. JORC 2012 Table 1

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	 Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	 The samples were collected using industry standard Reverse Circulation (RC) drill methods . Drilling was completed by McKays Drilling who completed the entire RC drill program – 168 holes for 5569m There was limited water encountered during the drill program The drilling and sample techniques are considered representative for the style of mineralisation utilising 1m sample intervals gathered directly from the RC drill rig using an adjustable cone splitter from a levelled drill rig. The target sample weight was between 2-3kg which is appropriate for the style of mineralisation
Drilling techniques	 Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face- sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	 The drill type is Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling vertical holes The drill diameter us 5 ¼ inch RC using a face sampling hammer
Drill sample recovery	 Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	 Sample recovery was estimated by the geologist on the rig and secondly by assessing the weight of the representative samples delivered to laboratory The drill recoveries were deemed acceptable with supervision of the sampling at the cone splitter No sample bias due to sample loss is evident from the observed sample recoveries The samples were drilled mostly dry again minimising sample bias
Logging	 Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	 Drillhole logging was completed at the drill rig recording lithology, texture, grain size and colour. 1m chip trays were also collected in site, photographed and used to further detailed logging post the drill program The logging was considered appropriate for exploration reporting and eventually Mineral Resource Estimation Every 1m interval as logged and sieved for inspection – 5569m
Sub- sampling techniques and sample preparation	 Intersections logged. If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. Quality control procedures adopted for all subsampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. 	 The 1m RC samples were gathered by using a levelled cone splitter of the side of the rig The samples were dominantly dry Black Canyon inserted Certified Reference Material (CRM) at a rate of 1/50, blanks at a rate of 1/50 and field duplicates from the cone splitter at a rate of 1/50 for a total insertion rate of QA/QC materials at 6% The sub sampling technique and quality control procedures is considered appropriate to ensure sample representivity The sample size is considered appropriate for the grainsize and style of mineralisation
Quality of assay data	The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and	 The samples were submitted to NATA accredited ALSChemex in Wangara



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
and laboratory tests	 whether the technique is considered partial or total. For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established. 	 The 2 – 3kg samples was sub-split to 750gram and pulverised with 85% passing 75µm The sample was then analysed using method ME-XRF26s for manganese ores using fusion disc XRF for Fe, SiO2, Mn, Al2O3, TiO2, P2O5, S, MgO, K2O, Na2O, CaO, BaO, Cr2O3 and ZrO2 Review of the quality control results received to date that include CRM, blanks, duplicates show an acceptable level of accuracy (lack of bias) and precision has been achieved. In addition ALSCHEMEX has undertaken its own internal QAQC checks using CRM, Blanks and pulp duplicates and no issues have been reported or identified The CP is satisfied that the analysis was completed to an acceptable standard in the context in which the results have been reported
Verification of sampling and assaying	 The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel. The use of twinned holes. Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. Discuss any adjustment to assay data. 	 The significant intersections have not been verified by independent personnel Two of the RC drill holes completed in 2021 were designed to twin the 2012 generation of drilling. The results do not show evidence of bias and no assay data has been adjusted
Location of data points	 Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. Specification of the grid system used. Quality and adequacy of topographic control. 	 Once a drill hole was completed the drill collar was located using a GARMIN handheld GPS with an accuracy of +/- 5m The drill collars will be eventually located using a DGPS system once a suitable contractor has been engaged The grid system is UTM zone 51, GDA94 datum The topography is quite flat reflecting the underlying stratigraphy. The holes are shallow and downhole deviation is not considered material in the context of these results
Data spacing and distribution	 Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. Whether sample compositing has been applied. 	 At FB1 the drill spacing of the RC drill program was approximately 400m line spacing with holes drill 100m apart The drill hole spacing is considered appropriate for this stage of initial exploration with further infill drilling planned to increase geological and mineralisation confidence No sample compositing has been applied
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	 Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. 	 The deposit is flat and gently plunging. Drill logs and assay data have identified cross cutting dolerite dykes that may have intruded into zones of structural weakness which does appear at this early stage to terminate the prospective horizon to the south The drill hole orientation otherwise is suitable for this style of mineralisation and considered appropriate and unlikely to introduce sample bias
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	 The samples were collected into bulka bags, sealed with cable ties and stored on site until the drill program was completed The samples were then trucked to Perth in two consignments and delivered directly to ALSCHEMEX in Wangara. The .bulka bags were inspected and audited by ALSCHEMEX who did not report any suspicious or tampered samples
Audits or reviews	 The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. 	 Other than internal review by Company staff no audits have been completed. The CP was on site for the duration of the RC drill program and considers the sampling and sub sampling techniques to be equal to industry standard and appropriate for the style of mineralisation and the results being reported.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and	 Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, 	 The drilling was undertaken on granted tenement E46/1301 Black Canyon has a farm-in and joint venture agreement with Carawine Resources Ltd (ASX:CWX), giving Black



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
land tenure status	 partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	 Canyon the right to earn an initial 51% interest and up to 75% in the Carawine Projects that includes E45/1301 The tenement has a native title Heritage Protection Agreement with the Karlka Nyiyaparli People that required a Heritage Survey to be undertaken prior to ground disturbing activities. To this end an Ethnographic and Archeologic survey was completed prior to commencement of site activities There are no other known impediments to exploring E46/1301
Exploration done by other parties	Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.	 Previous work on the tenure Includes exploration by Sentinel Mining Company carried out in 1968 in the general area of Balfour Downs. The exploration work included rock chip sampling from the southern edge of E46/784 which returned three samples with manganese values of 21.6 %, 25.7% and 11.4% Mn within manganese surface enrichment of Balfour Shales. Consolidated Global Investment Pty Limited ('CGI') owned tenement E46/784 between 2010 and 2015 and carried out exploration work. Early reconnaissance work completed by CGI delineated many occurrences of manganese enriched outcroppings of the Balfour Formation. These north south striking outcrops were continuous over a distance of 1 km with widths of 50 m to 90 m in the LR1 Prospect area. Further exploration work completed by CGI included identification of prospective area using google images and remote sensing, a heritage survey and clearance for drilling using local Martu consultants. CGI completed a reverse circulation drilling programme of 22 holes in July 2012 on E46/784.
Geology	 Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	 The Flanagan Bore tenement is located within the Oakover Basin, the edges of which are defined by the Neoarchaean Fortescue Group. Most of the tenement is covered by quaternary alluvium, sheetwash and outcrop only exists within the southern part and consists of rocks of the Manganese Group, mainly the Encheddong Dolomite and Balfour Formation. The tenement contains widespread manganese scree associated with manganese enriched Balfour Formation shales The LR1 prospect can be separated into three primary units, the upper unmineralised Balfour shale, the mineralised Balfour shale and the lower basal shale unit. The upper unmineralised shale is brown grey in colour and occurs from surface up to 10 m in depth intermittently across the project area. The manganiferous shale unit contains a supergene enriched manganiferous horizon which exhibits thickness range between 15 m to 37 m depth gently dipping to the south, progressively thickening to the east-south-east. The manganese layers are confined to distinct banding within the Balfour and there are also minor occurrences of interbedded red/brown shales intermixed within saprolitic clay bands.
Drill hole Information	 A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: easting and northing of the drill hole collar elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar dip and azimuth of the hole down hole length and interception depth hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	Refer to Appendix 1 for a complete listing of the RC drill holes completed at FB1 and FB5 by Black Canyon
Data aggregation methods	 In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material 	 Only length (1m) weighted intervals are included in the text of this release. Manganese intervals have been reported at 7% Mn cut off allowing 1 m of dilution (<7% Mn)



Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	 and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	 Iron intervals have been reported as they coincide with the Mn intervals and no cut offs are applied No metal equivalent values are used.
Relationship between mineralisatio n widths and intercept lengths	 These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	 The region is mostly flat lying exhibiting a gentle dip of mineralisation to the south, south-west therefore 90 degree angled (vertical) drill holes considered appropriate. The mineralisation of the FB1 prospect is primarily strata bound striking approximately 45 - 90 degrees, gently dipping to the southwest about a regional syncline. The drill results reported are interpreted to represent close to true widths of the mineralisation
Diagrams	 Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	These have been included in the body of the release where relevant and material to the reader's understanding of the results in regard to the context in which they have been reported.
Balanced reporting	 Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	 Information considered material to the reader's understanding of the Exploration Results has been reported. in the body of the text and significant results have selectively been reported to provide the reader with the potential tenor and widths of the mineralisation APPENDIX 1- contains the results of the holes drilled into FB1 and FB5. Holes denoted with NSR describes holes without significant manganese results.
Other substantive exploration data	 Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	All information considered material to the reader's understanding and context of the RC Exploration Results have been reported.
Further work	 The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. 	 Further work is planned that includes: metallurgical testwork on diamond drill core and down hole geophysical surveys for density and lithological mapping The Company is intending to undertake a Mineral Resource Update over LR1 once all of the drill information has been received and interpreted Additional infill drilling will be undertaken